Problem Set 5

- Due Date: 11:59pm on Monday 18th March, 2024
- Days Covered: 08, 09, and 10 (including Lecture, Warm-Up, and Recitation)

Problem 1. Fibonacci Divisibility [13 points]

Recall the Fibonacci numbers $(F_0, F_1, F_2, ...) = (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, ...)$, where F_n equals $F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ for every $n \ge 2$. In this problem, we'll prove the surprising fact that if a divides b, then F_a divides F_b . For example, $F_6 = 8$ is a factor of $F_{12} = 144$.

Let a > 0 be a fixed (but unspecified) integer.

(a) [6 pts] Prove by (regular or strong) induction on n that

$$F_{n+a} \equiv F_n \cdot F_{a+1} \mod F_a$$

for every $n \ge 0$.

In other words, when looking modulo F_a , the subsequence

 $(F_a, F_{a+1}, F_{a+2}, \ldots)$

is congruent, term-by-term, to the original Fibonacci sequence after scaling by F_{a+1} :

$$(F_0F_{a+1}, F_1F_{a+1}, F_2F_{a+1}, \ldots).$$

(b) [7 pts] Use part (a), and another induction, to prove that $F_a | F_b$ whenever $b \in \mathbb{N}$ is a multiple of a.

Hint: What will you induct on?

Problem 2. Computing Modular Inverses [10 points]

Let's see a few common techniques for computing modular inverses! Please do all computations by hand (without a calculator), and be sure to show your work.

- (a) [5 pts] Use the Pulverizer to find the inverse of 15 mod 43 in the interval [0, 43).
- (b) [5 pts] Use Fermat's Little Theorem to find the inverse of 15 mod 43 in [0, 43).

Problem 3. Primality Testing [12 points]

With modern hardware and (publically known) algorithms, factoring large numbers into their component prime factors seems intractable for integers with more than a few hundred digits¹. It may be surprising, then, that the related task of **primality testing**—determining whether a given number is prime or composite—can be accomplished efficiently and practically, using clever but not complicated randomized algorithms algorithms. Let's investigate a commonly-used primality testing algorithm, due to Gary Miller and Michael Rabin, that can test numbers with hundreds of digits in fractions of a second on a typical laptop².

For this problem, let n be the integer we wish to test, to answer the question "is n prime or composite"?

(a) [2 pts] Here is a first attempt, known as the Fermat test:

Choose an integer $1 \le a \le n-1$, and compute $a^{n-1} \mod n$ using the repeated squaring technique. If this result is not 1, return **Composite**. Otherwise, return **I don't know**.

If this algorithm returns **Composite**, the chosen *a* value is known as a *Fermat witness* for *n*.

Prove that a Fermat witness is enough to prove that n is composite. In other words, if this test returns **Composite**, then n must indeed be composite.

Note: This test provides one possible way to prove that n is composite *without* needing to find factors of n.

One approach is to try many values of a, hoping to find a Fermat witness in a reasonable number of tries. However, this does not always work: some composite numbers can "fool" the Fermat test for too many values of a. For example, a composite number n is known as a *Carmichael number* iff $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \mod n$ for every $a, 1 \leq a \leq n-1$, that is *relatively prime* to n. For these numbers, the only possible Fermat witnesses are numbers that share factors with n, which suggests that finding Fermat witnesses for n may be as hard as factoring n.

It is known that infinitely many Carmichael numbers exist. The three smallest Carmichael numbers are $561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$, $1105 = 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$, and $1729 = 7 \cdot 13 \times 19$.

(b) [5 pts] En route to a better test, prove the following lemma: if p is prime and $x^2 \equiv_p 1$, then $x \equiv_p \pm 1$. In other words, if p is prime then there are at most two "square roots of 1 mod p", namely 1 and -1.

Hint: Use Lemma 9.4.2 in the textbook.

(c) [5 pts] The Miller-Rabin primality test is a strengthening of the Fermat test:

¹The largest number in the RSA Factoring Challenge that has been successfully factored has 250 digits (in base 10), requiring approximately 2700 CPU-years of computational power. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RSA_numbers#RSA-250

²Try it for yourself! Here is an interactive Javascript implementation https://planetcalc.com/8995/, and here are some large primes to test https://primes.utm.edu/lists/small/small2.html.

Divide n-1 by 2 as many times as possible, so that $n-1 = 2^e \cdot k$ where k is odd. Choose an integer $1 \le a \le n-1$ as before, and compute the mod n remainders of

$$x_{0} = a^{k},$$

$$x_{1} = x_{0}^{2} = a^{2k},$$

$$x_{2} = x_{1}^{2} = a^{4k},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{e} = x_{e-1}^{2} = a^{2^{e}k} = a^{n-1}.$$

If $x_e \not\equiv_n 1$, or if there is a pair of consecutive terms (x_i, x_{i+1}) where $x_{i+1} \equiv_n 1$ but $x_i \not\equiv_n \pm 1$, return **Composite**. Otherwise return **I don't know**.

Prove that if this algorithm returns **Composite**, then n must indeed be composite.

Note: We won't prove this here, but it can be shown that for every composite number n (including Carmichael numbers!), at least 3/4 of the values $1 \le a \le n-1$ lead to a conclusive **Composite** proof under this test! In practice, you can repeat this with (say) 100 randomlychosen *a*-values. If any say **Composite** then n is definitely composite; otherwise, you'll conclude that n is **Probably Prime**. The chance of a composite number returning the wrong answer (i.e., getting unlucky with every randomly-selected *a*-value) is at most $1/4^{100}$; I'll take those odds!

Problem 4. Pulverizer State Machine [15 points]

Define the Pulverizer State machine to have:

states :=
$$\mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^4$$

start state := $(a, b, 1, 0, 0, 1)$
transitions := If $y > 0$, then $(x, y, s, t, u, v) \rightarrow$
 $(y, r, u, v, s - qu, t - qv)$ (where $q = (x \operatorname{div} y), r = (x \operatorname{rem} y)$).

Here, $(x \operatorname{div} y)$ indicates the quotient when dividing with remainder, so $q = (x \operatorname{div} y)$ is the integer q that satisfies $x = qy + (x \operatorname{rem} y)$.

(a) [7 pts] Define the state predicates

$$gcd(x, y) = gcd(a, b),$$
 (Pres1)

$$sa + tb = x$$
, and (Pres2)

$$ua + vb = y. \tag{Pres3}$$

Show that "(Pres1) AND (Pres2) AND (Pres3)" is *preserved* by the Pulverizer machine across transitions.

(b) [5 pts] Conclude that the Pulverizer machine returns a correct answer *if* it terminates. Correctness means that it computes a pair of coefficients s, t satisfying Bézout's identity

$$gcd(a,b) = sa + tb.$$

(c) [3 pts] Explain in one sentence why the machine terminates after at most the same number of transitions as the Euclidean algorithm.

MIT OpenCourseWare <u>https://ocw.mit.edu</u>

6.1200J Mathematics for Computer Science Spring 2024

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>