Signed Languages

American Sign Language (ASL), British Sign Language (BSL), Langue des Signes Française (LSF)...

not just encodings of the spoken languages of their countries!

for one thing, ASL (American Sign Language) is more similar to LSF (French sign language) than it is to BSL (British Sign Language)...

And there are big grammatical differences between ASL and English. Here's one: English has overt wh-movement:

Who did John see?

Mandarin doesn't:

John kanjianle **shei**? (*Mandarin*)
John saw who

...and in this regard, ASL is like Mandarin, not English... (video from Carol Neidle's BU website)

(signed languages may be affected by the languages they're in contact with: compare ASL 'I', JSL 'I')

...on the other hand: chickadee Passamaquoddy *kuhkukhahs* 'owl'

...on the other hand: chickadee Passamaquoddy *kuhkukhahs* ASL BOOK, TREE

...on the other hand: chickadee Passamaquoddy *kuhkukhahs* ASL BOOK, TREE

These are the kinds of signs that sometimes fool people into thinking that ASL is a version of charades...but it isn't! Signs are just as arbitrary in ASL as they are in any spoken language.

There's a fair amount of work on the phonology of signed languages.

Remember Lardil?

bidngen 'woman' bidngen-in 'woman-ACCUSATIVE'

Remember Lardil?

bidngen 'woman' bidngen-in 'woman-ACCUSATIVE' yaka 'fish' yak-in 'fish-ACCUSATIVE'

Remember Lardil?

bidngen 'woman' bidngen-in 'woman-ACCUSATIVE' yaka 'fish' yak-in 'fish-ACCUSATIVE'

We decided the best way to handle things like this was to say that the Lardil word for 'fish' was underlyingly **yak**...

Remember Lardil?

bidngen 'woman' bidngen-in 'woman-ACCUSATIVE' yaka 'fish' yak-in 'fish-ACCUSATIVE'

We decided the best way to handle things like this was to say that the Lardil word for 'fish' was underlyingly **yak**...

...but that Lardil had a rule (actually, a bunch of rules) lengthening monosyllabic words. **Minimal Word Requirement**: Lardil words can't be just one syllable long.

Remember Lardil?

bidngen 'woman' bidngen-in 'woman-ACCUSATIVE' yaka 'fish' yak-in 'fish-ACCUSATIVE'

We decided the best way to handle things like this was to say that the Lardil word for 'fish' was underlyingly **yak**...

...but that Lardil had a rule (actually, a bunch of rules) lengthening monosyllabic words. **Minimal Word Requirement**: Lardil words can't be just one syllable long.

yak \rightarrow yaka yak + in \rightarrow yakin

ASL has also been argued to have a minimal word requirement...

There are signs that, in isolation, involve a (often short) movement, but which lose that movement if they're made part of a larger word.

ASL has also been argued to have a minimal word requirement...

There are signs that, in isolation, involve a (often short) movement, but which lose that movement if they're made part of a larger word.

THINK, SHOCKED

ASL has also been argued to have a minimal word requirement...

There are signs that, in isolation, involve a (often short) movement, but which lose that movement if they're made part of a larger word.

THINK, SHOCKED

Not every compound involves truncating one of the members like this. So a proposal (Brentari): ASL signs have a Minimal Word Requirement, like Lardil. They have to contain at least one move.

'THINK' is the ASL version of Lardil *yak* 'fish': it doesn't have a movement on its own, so you add one if it's by itself.

Remember Polish obstruents?

wuk 'bow'	wuki 'bows'	wu <u>k</u>	
wuk 'lye'	wugi 'lyes'	wug	Final g becomes k
trup 'corpse'	trupi 'corpses'	tru <u>p</u>	
klup 'club'	klubi 'clubs'	klu <u>b</u>	Final b becomes p
kot 'cat'	koti 'cats'	ko <u>t</u>	
trut 'labor'	trudi 'labors'	tru <u>d</u>	Final d becomes t
nos 'nose'	nosi 'noses'	no <u>s</u>	
grus 'rubble'	gruzi 'rubbles'	gru <u>z</u>	Final z becomes s
•	•	_	_

Remember Polish obstruents?

wuk 'bow'	wuki 'bows'	wu <u>k</u>	
wuk 'lye'	wugi 'lyes'	wug	Final g becomes k
trup 'corpse'	trupi 'corpses'	tru <u>p</u>	
klup 'club'	klubi 'clubs'	klu <u>b</u>	Final b becomes p
kot 'cat'	koti 'cats'	ko <u>t</u>	
trut 'labor'	trudi 'labors'	tru <u>d</u>	Final d becomes t
nos 'nose'	nosi 'noses'	no <u>s</u>	
grus 'rubble'	gruzi 'rubbles'	gruz	Final z becomes s

Polish has voiced obstruents, but they're limited in where they can go; they don't appear at the ends of words.

Here's an observation about the distribution of finger wiggling in ASL signs:

Here's an observation about the distribution of finger wiggling in ASL signs:

--you can get it in signs where the hands just have one of Brentari's short movements (like COLOR)

Here's an observation about the distribution of finger wiggling in ASL signs:

- --you can get it in signs where the hands just have one of Brentari's short movements (like COLOR)
- --or in signs where the hands move (like GO UP IN FLAMES)

Here's an observation about the distribution of finger wiggling in ASL signs:

- --you can get it in signs where the hands just have one of Brentari's short movements (like COLOR)
- --or in signs where the hands move (like GO UP IN FLAMES)
- → but there are imaginable signs that you don't get, in which your hands would finger-wiggle and then move.

- --you can get it in signs where the hands just have one of Brentari's short movements (like COLOR)
- --or in signs where the hands move (like GO UP IN FLAMES)
- → but there are imaginable signs that you don't get, in which your hands would finger-wiggle and then move.

similar observation about handshape change: you can have a sign where the hands just do a short move, and then change handshape (UNDERSTAND),

- --you can get it in signs where the hands just have one of Brentari's short movements (like COLOR)
- --or in signs where the hands move (like GO UP IN FLAMES)
- → but there are imaginable signs that you don't get, in which your hands would finger-wiggle and then move.

similar observation about handshape change: you can have a sign where the hands just do a short move, and then change handshape (UNDERSTAND), or during the movement of a movement sign (OLD)

- --you can get it in signs where the hands just have one of Brentari's short movements (like COLOR)
- --or in signs where the hands move (like GO UP IN FLAMES)
- → but there are imaginable signs that you don't get, in which your hands would finger-wiggle and then move.

similar observation about handshape change:
you can have a sign where the hands just do a short move,
and then change handshape (UNDERSTAND),
or during the movement of a movement sign (OLD)
but, again, no signs where you change shape and then move.

So finger-wiggling and handshape change are sort of like Polish voiced obstruents:

ASL has them, but it has restrictions on where they can be in a word.

(and then we have to figure out why, of course...)

But there's a restriction, in two-handed signs, on the second hand.

But there's a restriction, in two-handed signs, on the second hand.

It either stays still (TREE)

But there's a restriction, in two-handed signs, on the second hand.

It either stays still (TREE)...
Or it mimics what the other hand does (TEACH, BICYCLE)

But there's a restriction, in two-handed signs, on the second hand.

It either stays still (TREE)...
Or it mimics what the other hand does (TEACH, BICYCLE)

These restrictions are interesting, because they can be violated in poetry (Snowflake video)

But there's a restriction, in two-handed signs, on the second hand.

It either stays still (TREE)...
Or it mimics what the other hand does (TEACH, BICYCLE)

These restrictions are interesting, because they can be violated in poetry (Snowflake video)

So it's not that it's physically impossible to violate these generalizations—but the language requires signs to have certain shapes.

There's also a lot of work on the use of parts of the body other than the hands...the "non-manual component"

There's also a lot of work on the use of parts of the body other than the hands...the "non-manual component"

Consider the use of facial expression in the wh-question video...

There's also a lot of work on the use of parts of the body other than the hands...the "non-manual component"

Consider the use of facial expression in the wh-question video...

....and in negation.

Trump told Biden that he would win.

Trump told Biden that he would win.

(might need some 'name signs'...)

Trump told Biden that he would win.

(and fancier things... "most of my students came to class...")

Trump told Biden that he would win.

and relatedly, 'role shift' (see video)

What have I tried to show you?

- ASL isn't "coded English"
- There's a lot of work on ASL phonology—where by "phonology" we mean the rules for how the articulators work.
- Also a lot of interest in the use of parts of the body other than the hands.

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

24.900 Introduction to Linguistics Spring 2022 For more information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit https://ocw.mit.edu/terms.